



# Position Statement

915 Lee Street, Des Plaines, IL 60016-6569 ▪ 800.900.9659 ▪ [www.ena.org](http://www.ena.org)

## Triage Qualifications and Competency

### Description

Triage is the process of collecting pertinent information about patients who are seeking emergency care and initiating a decision-making procedure that uses a valid and reliable triage acuity designation system.<sup>1</sup> Rapid and accurate triage decisions are important for successful emergency department (ED) operations<sup>2</sup> and optimal patient outcomes.<sup>3</sup> This process includes collecting pertinent patient information, performing a focused assessment, assigning an acuity level, and prioritizing the needs of the patient seeking emergency care, all in a time-sensitive manner. Accuracy in problem identification is a crucial component of clinical decision making, especially in the triage encounter, and requires the nurse to establish boundaries of physiological and psychological stability as well as predict the potential trajectory of the patient's condition.<sup>4</sup> To make effective and safe triage decisions, nurses must draw from an extensive internal base of knowledge and experience to identify salient cues and act based on the patient presentation. For performing triage, the Emergency Nurses Association (ENA) supports the use of a reliable, valid, five-level scale such as the Emergency Severity Index (ESI)<sup>2,5</sup> or the Canadian Emergency Department Triage and Acuity Scale (CTAS).<sup>6</sup> The process of triage is best carried out by registered nurses and nurse practitioners with emergency nursing expertise who have completed a triage-specific educational program. Competency is an ongoing validation process that is part of safe practice in the ED; it includes observation and chart review to ensure accurate clinical decision-making.

### ENA Position

It is the position of the Emergency Nurses Association that:

1. Triage is a critical assessment process performed by a registered nurse or nurse practitioner with a minimum of one-year of emergency nursing experience, as well as appropriate additional credentials and education that may include certification in emergency nursing and continuing education in trauma, pediatrics, and cardiac care, with verification or certification in those subspecialties as appropriate.
2. Emergency nurses complete a comprehensive, evidence-based triage education course and a clinical orientation with an experienced preceptor to enhance triage knowledge and skills.
3. Triage nurses are engaged in an ongoing triage competency validation process that includes observation and chart review, with remediation and further education as appropriate.
4. Emergency department leadership ensures that registered nurses receive appropriate education and demonstrate the knowledge application and situational awareness required to successfully function in the role of triage nurse according to professional and accreditation standards.
5. Emergency nurses support and participate in research involving the triage process and patient outcomes in the emergency care setting.



# Position Statement

915 Lee Street, Des Plaines, IL 60016-6569 ▪ 800.900.9659 ▪ [www.ena.org](http://www.ena.org)

## Background

Emergency department triage decisions can be complex and multifaceted. In the current emergency care environment, with increasing patient volume and acuity, it is more important than ever to ensure that nurses performing the vital triage function have the appropriate competencies. Nursing competence refers to a demonstrated ability to integrate knowledge, skills, abilities, and judgment based on scientific knowledge and expectations for nursing practice.<sup>7</sup> Collaborative observational assessment of triage competency has been increasingly suggested as an adjunct or alternative to written or didactic instruction.<sup>8-10</sup> Some examples of observational assessment include real-time feedback by preceptors or charge nurses, or triage simulation experiences.<sup>10</sup> Online courses and online case studies have also emerged as valid educational alternatives with which to evaluate triage competency.<sup>11</sup>

Years of experience in ED nursing or triage are not a proxy for initial or continued ED triage competency assessment. Experienced triage nurses may place an unfounded reliance on their ability to correctly interpret ambiguous clinical signs and symptoms,<sup>12</sup> and progressively increase their distance from formal protocols, relying more on memory and past experience.<sup>13,14</sup> In contrast, less-experienced triage nurses may be more likely to adhere strictly to protocols in making their decisions.<sup>12</sup> Additionally, factors such as ED crowding can contribute to greater subjectivity and inconsistency in triage decisions.<sup>15</sup> In short, the assigned triage acuity can be determined by factors that are environment-specific rather than patient-specific.<sup>15</sup> Mis-triage or incorrect triage acuity level assignment can cause delays in treatment for the patients involved as well as other patients in need of care, ultimately compromising patient outcomes and possibly leading to mortality.<sup>3,12</sup> For example, research suggests that up to one half of patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) are assigned an inappropriately low triage acuity level.<sup>12,16,17</sup>

Inconsistencies in triage decisions are not fully understood and represent an opportunity for nursing research.<sup>3</sup> To maintain the quality, safety, and efficacy of nursing care in emergency settings, triage competency assessment should not be a one-time event, but rather a fluid, dynamic process, with periodic assessment of all nurses who practice this high-risk skill.

## Resources

Emergency Nurses Association. (2017). *Emergency nursing triage course*. Retrieved from <https://www.ena.org/education/onlinelearning/Pages/ENT.aspx>

Wright, D. (2005). *The ultimate guide to competency assessment in health care* (3rd ed.). Minneapolis, MN: Creative Health Care Management Inc.

## References

1. Emergency Nurses Association. (2011). *Emergency nursing scope and standards of practice*. Des Plaines, Ill: Author.
2. Gilboy, N., Tanabe, T., Travers, D., & Rosenau, A. M. (2011). *Emergency severity index (ESI): A triage tool for emergency department care, version 4. Implementation handbook 2012 edition* (AHRQ Publication No. 12-0014). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Retrieved from <http://www.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/wysiwyg/professionals/systems/hospital/esi/esihandbk.pdf>
3. Wolf, L. A. (2011). *Testing and refining an integrated ethically-driven environmental model of clinical decision-making in emergency settings*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Boston College, Boston, MA. Retrieved from <http://dlib.bc.edu/islandora/object/bc-ir:101711/datastream/PDF/download/citation.pdf>
4. Yurkova, I., & Wolf, L. (2011). Under-triage as a significant factor affecting transfer time between the emergency department and the intensive care unit. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 37(5), 491–496. doi:10.1016/j.jen.2011.01.016



# Position Statement

915 Lee Street, Des Plaines, IL 60016-6569 ▪ 800.900.9659 ▪ [www.ena.org](http://www.ena.org)

5. Emergency Nurses Association and American College of Emergency Physicians. (2010). *Standardized ED triage scale and acuity categorization: Joint ENA/ACEP statement*. Retrieved from the Emergency Nurses Association website: <https://www.ena.org/SiteCollectionDocuments/Position%20Statements/Joint/StandardizedEDTriageScaleandAcuityCategorization.pdf>
6. Beveridge, R., Clarke, B., Janes, L., Savage, N., Thompson, J., Dodd, G., . . . Vadeboncoeur, A. (1998). *Implementation guidelines for the Canadian Emergency Department Triage & Acuity Scale (CTAS)*. Retrieved from the Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians website: <http://caep.ca/sites/caep.ca/files/caep/files/ctased16.pdf>
7. American Nurses Association. (2015). *Nursing: Scope and standards of practice* (3rd ed.). Silver Spring, MD: Author.
8. Jordi, K., Grossmann, F., Gaddis, G. M., Cignacco, E., Denhaerynck, K., Schwendimann, R., & Nickel, C. H. (2015). Nurses' accuracy and self-perceived ability using the Emergency Severity Index triage tool: A cross-sectional study in four Swiss hospitals. *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine*, 23(62). doi:10.1186/s13049-015-0142-y
9. Wright, D. (2005). *The ultimate guide to competency assessment in health care* (3rd ed.). Minneapolis, MN: Creative Health Care Management Inc.
10. Wolf, L. (2010). Does your staff really "get" initial patient assessment? Assessing competency in triage using simulated patient encounters. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 36(4), 370–374. doi:10.1016/j.jen.2010.04.016
11. Rankin, J. A., Then, K. L., & Atack, L. (2013). Can emergency nurses' triage skills be improved by online learning? Results of an experiment. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 39(1), 20–26. doi:10.1016/j.jen.2011.07.004
12. Ryan, K., Greenslade, J., Dalton, E., Chu, K., Brown, A. F. T., & Cullen, L. (2016). Factors associated with triage assignment of emergency department patients ultimately diagnosed with acute myocardial infarction. *Australian Critical Care*, 29(1), 23–26. doi:10.1016/j.aucc.2015.05.001
13. Dallaire, C., Poitras, J., Aubin, K., Lavoie, A., & Moore, L. (2012). Emergency department triage: Do experienced nurses agree on triage scores? *The Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 42(6), 736–40. doi:10.1016/j.jemermed.2011.05.085
14. Dong, S. L., Bullard, M. J., Meurer, D. P., Blitz, S., Ohinmaa, A., Holroyd, B. R., & Rowe, B. H. (2006). Reliability of computerized emergency triage. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 13(3), 269–275. doi:10.1197/j.aem.2005.10.014
15. Wolf, L. (2010). Acuity assignment: An ethnographic exploration of clinical decision making by emergency nurses at initial patient presentation. *Advanced Emergency Nursing Journal*, 32(3), 234–246. doi:10.1097/TME.0b013e3181e972ec
16. Sanders, S. F., & DeVon, H. A. (2016). Accuracy in ED triage for symptoms of acute myocardial infarction. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 42(4), 331–337. doi:10.1016/j.jen.2015.12.011
17. Atzema, C. L., Austin, P. C., Tu, J. V., & Schull, M. J. (2010). ED triage of patients with acute myocardial infarction: Predictors of low acuity triage. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 28(6), 694–702. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2009.03.010

## Authors

### Authored by

Elizabeth Stone, MSN, RN, CPEN  
Lisa Wolf, PhD, RN, CEN, FAEN

### Reviewed by

2016 ENA Position Statement Committee  
E. Marie Wilson, MPA, RN, Chairperson  
Katie Bush, MA, RN, CEN, SANE-A  
Melanie Crowley, MSN, RN, CEN  
Kathy Dolan, MSHA, RN, CEN, CPHRM  
Ellen Encapera, RN, CEN  
Justin Winger, PhD, MA, BA, BSN, RN



# Position Statement

915 Lee Street, Des Plaines, IL 60016-6569 ▪ 800.900.9659 ▪ [www.ena.org](http://www.ena.org)

## 2017 ENA Position Statement Committee

Justin Winger, PhD, MA, BSN, RN, Chairperson  
Joop Breuer, RN, CEN, CCRN, FAEN  
Audrey Cloughessy, MHA, RN, FAEN  
Melanie Crowley, MSN, RN, CEN  
Capt. Katherine Mallett, MSN, RN  
Elizabeth Stone, MSN, RN, CPEN  
E. Marie Wilson, MPA, RN

## 2016–2017 ENA Board of Directors Liaison

Sally Snow, BSN, RN, CPEN, FAEN

## 2016–2017 ENA Staff Liaison

Monica Escalante Kolbuk, MSN, RN, CEN

Developed: 2010.

Approved by the ENA Board of Directors: February 2011.

Revised and Approved by the ENA Board of Directors: May, 2017

This Position Statement replaces statement, *Triage Qualifications*, (02/2011)

©Emergency Nurses Association, 2017.

This position statement, including the information and recommendations set forth herein, reflects ENA's current position with respect to the subject matter discussed herein based on current knowledge at the time of publication. This position statement is only current as of its publication date, and is subject to change without notice as new information and advances emerge. The positions, information and recommendations discussed herein are not codified into law or regulations. In addition, variations in practice, which take into account the needs of the individual patient and the resources and limitations unique to the institution, may warrant approaches, treatments and/or procedures that differ from the recommendations outlined in this position statement. Therefore, this position statement should not be construed as dictating an exclusive course of management, treatment or care, nor does adherence to this position statement guarantee a particular outcome. ENA's position statements are never intended to replace a practitioner's best nursing judgment based on the clinical circumstances of a particular patient or patient population. Position statements are published by ENA for educational and informational purposes only, and ENA does not "approve" or "endorse" any specific sources of information referenced herein. ENA assumes no liability for any injury and/or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to the use of or reliance on any position statement.